

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/411,629		10/01/1999	WATARU NARA	0557-4784-2	8585
	22850	7590	08/31/2006		EXAMINER	
	C. IRVIN N	<b>ICCLEL</b>	LAND	TRAN, NHAN T		
	OBLON, SP	IVAK, M	CCLELLAND, MAI			
	1940 DÚKE	•	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ALEXANDI	RIA. VA	22314		2622	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/411,629	NARA, WATARU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nhan T. Tran	2622		

	Nhan T. Tran	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follows:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o	f Appeal. To avoid aba	
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latemay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or er than three months after the mailing o	t of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a)  They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see No		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,7,8,13 and 15-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	out before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
<u></u>			•
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. ☐ Other: \	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
		DAVID OMETZ	7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding each of independent claims 5, 7, 13 & 15, the claims have been amended to add a new limitation "averages of" or "average" which raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.